1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
7	KAREN DENISE JACKS,	
8	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 13-cv-05499 JRC
9	v.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES PURSUANT
10	CAROLYN W COLVIN, Acting	TO 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)
11	Commissioner of the Social Security Administration,	
12		
13	Defendant.	
14	This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Fed. R. Civ. P. 73 and Local	
15	Magistrate Judge Rule MJR 13 (see also Notice of Initial Assignment to a U.S. Magistrate Judge	
16	and Consent Form, ECF No. 5; Consent to Proceed Before a United States Magistrate Judge,	
17	ECF No. 6). This matter is before the Court on plaintiff's Motion and Memorandum in Support	
18	of Award of Attorney's Fees Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) (see Dkt. 20). Defendant has no	
19	objection to plaintiff's request (see Dkt. 22).	
20	The Court may allow a reasonable fee for an attorney who represented a Social Security	
21	Title II claimant before the Court and obtained a favorable judgment, as long as such fee is not in	
22	excess of 25 percent of the total of past-due benefits. See 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1); Grisbrecht v.	
23	Barnhart, 535 U.S. 789 (2002). When a contingency agreement applies, the Court will look first	
24	to such agreement and will conduct an independent review to assure the reasonableness of the	

1	fee requested, taking into consideration the character of the representation and results achieved.	
2	See Grisbrecht, supra, 535 U.S. at 807, 808 (footnote omitted) (citations omitted). Although the	
3	fee agreement is the primary means for determining the fee, the Court will adjust the fee	
4	downward if substandard representation was provided, if the attorney caused excessive delay, or	
5	if a windfall would result from the requested fee. See Crawford v. Astrue, 586 F.3d 1142, 1151	
6	(9th Cir. 2009) (citing Grisbrecht, supra, 535 U.S. at 808).	
7	Here, the representation was standard, at least, and the results achieved excellent (see	
8	Dkts. 16, 20). See Grisbrecht, supra, 535 U.S. at 808. There has not been excessive delay and	
9	no windfall will result from the requested fee.	
10	Plaintiff's total back payment was \$45,246.00 (see Dkt. 20, p. 1 fn. 1). Plaintiff has	
11	moved for a net attorney's fee of \$4,522.67 (see Motion, Dkt. 20, p. 1), and the Court has	
12	considered plaintiff's gross attorney's fee of \$11,311.50 and the EAJA award received by	
13	plaintiff's attorney in the amount of \$6,788.83 (Dkt. 19). See Parish v. Comm'r. Soc. Sec.	
14	Admin., 698 F.3d 1215, 1221 (9th Cir. 2012).	
15	Based on plaintiff's motion and supporting documents (see Dkts. 20, 23; see also Dkt.	
16	18), and with no objection from defendant (Dkt. 22), or from plaintiff (see Dkt. 23), it is hereby	
17	ORDERED that attorney's fees in the amount of \$4,522.67 be awarded to plaintiff's attorney	
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b). This amount, minus any applicable processing fee, should be	
19	released by defendant to plaintiff's attorney, Rosemary B. Schurman, Esq., at 8123 NE 115 Way,	
20	Kirkland, WA 98034, or via direct deposit.	
21	Dated this 2nd day of June, 2016.	
22	St. March Construction	
23	J. Richard Creatura	
24	United States Magistrate Judge	